State Space Gaussian Processes with Non-Gaussian Likelihoods

Hannes Nickisch¹

Arno Solin²

Alexander Grigorievskiy^{2,3}

¹Philips Research, ²Aalto University, ³Silo.AI

ICML2018 July 13, 2018







Outline

Gaussian Processes

- Temporal GPs as stochastic differential equations (SDEs)
- Learning and inference with Gaussian Likelihoods
- Speeding up computation of state space model parameters
- Non-Gaussian likelihoods
- Approximate inference algorithms
- Computational primitives and how to compute them

Experiments

Def: Gaussian Processes (GPs)

Gaussian Process (GP) is a stochastic process where for any inputs **t** all corresponding outputs **y** are distributed as $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{t}), \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}|\theta))$. Denoted: $f(t) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(t), k(t, t'|\theta))$

- Used as a prior over continuous functions in statistical models
- Properties (e.g. smoothness) are determined by the covariance function k(t, t'|θ)



Temporal Gaussian Processes

- Input data is 1-D, usually time
- Fully probabilistic (Bayesian) approach
- Conveniently combining structural components by covariance operations



Challenges:

- Large datasets
- Non-Gaussian likelihoods

 Applicability for unevenly sampled data

Temporal Gaussian Processes

- Input data is 1-D, usually time
- Fully probabilistic (Bayesian) approach
- Conveniently combining structural components by covariance operations



Challenges:

- Large datasets
- Non-Gaussian likelihoods

Applicability for unevenly sampled data

GP as a Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE)

Addressing challenge 1

Given a 1-D time series: $\{y_i, t_i\}_{i=1}^N$

 Gaussian Process model:

$$f(t) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(t), k(t, t')) \quad \text{GP prior}$$
$$\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(y_i \mid f(t_i)) \qquad \text{Likelihood}$$

Latent Posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) &= \\ \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, (\mathbf{K}^{-1} + \mathbf{W})^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

 Equivalent Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE) [3]

$$\frac{d \mathbf{f}(t)}{d t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}(t); \ \mathbf{f}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{P}_\infty)$$
$$\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i \mid \mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}(t_i))$$

 $f(t) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}(t)$

- $\mathbf{w}(t)$ multidimensional white noise
- ► F, L, H, P_∞ are determined from the covariance K [3]

GP as a Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE)

Addressing challenge 1

Given a 1-D time series: $\{y_i, t_i\}_{i=1}^N$

 Gaussian Process model:

$$f(t) \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(t), k(t, t')) \quad \text{GP prior}$$
$$\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(y_i \mid f(t_i)) \qquad \text{Likelihood}$$

Latent Posterior:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) &= \\ \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\alpha}, (\mathbf{K}^{-1} + \mathbf{W})^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

 Equivalent Stochastic Differential Equation (SDE) [3]

$$\frac{d \mathbf{f}(t)}{d t} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}(t); \ \mathbf{f}_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{P}_\infty)$$
$$\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{f} \sim \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(y_i \mid \mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}(t_i))$$

$$f(t) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{f}(t)$$

- **w**(*t*) multidimensional white noise
- ► F, L, H, P_∞ are determined from the covariance K [3]

Inference and Learning with Gaussian likelihood

Gaussian likelihood: $\mathbb{P}(y_i | f(t_i)) = \mathcal{N}(y_i | f(t_i), \sigma_n^2 I)$

Posterior parameters:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W} &= \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{I}_{\mathsf{n}} \\ \alpha &= (\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{W}^{-1})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}) \end{split}$$

Evidence:

$$\log Z_{\text{GPR}} = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{W}^{-1}| - \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma_{\text{n}}^2)$$

 The naïve approach has O(N³) complexity Solve SDE between time points (equivalent discrete time model):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_i &= \mathbf{A}_{i-1} \mathbf{f}_{i-1} + \mathbf{q}_{i-1}; \ \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_{i-1}) \\ y_i &= \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}_i + \epsilon_i; \quad \epsilon_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Parameters of the discrete model:

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{A}[\Delta t_i] = e^{\Delta t_i \mathbf{F}},$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbf{P}_{\infty} - \mathbf{A}_i \, \mathbf{P}_{\infty} \, \mathbf{A}_i^{\top}$$

 Inference and learning by Kalman Filter (KF) and Rauch-Tung-Striebel (RTS) smoother in O(N) complexity

Inference and Learning with Gaussian likelihood

Gaussian likelihood: $\mathbb{P}(y_i | f(t_i)) = \mathcal{N}(y_i | f(t_i), \sigma_n^2 I)$

Posterior parameters:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W} &= \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{I}_{n} \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha} &= (\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{W}^{-1})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m}) \end{split}$$

Evidence:

$$\log Z_{\text{GPR}} = -\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\top} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{m})$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \log |\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{W}^{-1}| - \frac{N}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma_{\text{n}}^2)$$

 The naïve approach has O(N³) complexity Solve SDE between time points (equivalent discrete time model):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_i &= \mathbf{A}_{i-1} \mathbf{f}_{i-1} + \mathbf{q}_{i-1}; \quad \mathbf{q}_{i-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_{i-1}) \\ y_i &= \mathbf{H} \mathbf{f}_i + \epsilon_i; \quad \epsilon_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_n^2) \end{aligned}$$

Parameters of the discrete model:

$$\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{A}[\Delta t_i] = e^{\Delta t_i \mathbf{F}}, \\ \mathbf{Q}_i = \mathbf{P}_{\infty} - \mathbf{A}_i \, \mathbf{P}_{\infty} \, \mathbf{A}_i^{\top}$$

 Inference and learning by Kalman Filter (KF) and Rauch-Tung-Striebel (RTS) smoother in O(N) complexity

Fast computation of A_i and Q_i by interpolation

Problem:

When there are many △t_i parameters computation can be slow

Solution:

- ψ : s → e^{sX} is smooth mapping, hence interpolation (similar to KISS-GP [4])
- Evaluate ψ on an equispaced grid s₁, s₂, ..., s_K, where s_j = s₀ + j · Δs

• Use 4-point interpolation: $\mathbf{A} \approx c_1 \mathbf{A}_{j-1} + c_2 \mathbf{A}_j + c_3 \mathbf{A}_{j+1} + c_4 \mathbf{A}_{j+2}.$ Coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^4$ are efficiently computable



Non-Gaussian Likelihoods

Addressing challenge 2

Posterior as a Gaussian approximation:

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, (\mathbf{K}^{-1} + \mathbf{W})^{-1}\right)$$

Laplace Approximation

- $\log \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathcal{D}) \sim \\ \log \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{y}) + \log \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{f} \mid \mathbf{t})$
- Find the mode f of this function by Newton method
- Hessian at the mode $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ is precision $\mathbf{W} = -\partial^2 \log \mathbb{P}(\hat{\mathbf{f}} | \mathbf{t})$

► log
$$Z_{LA} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha^{\top} \mathsf{mvm}_{\mathsf{K}}(\alpha) + \mathsf{ld}_{\mathsf{K}}(\mathsf{W}) - 2 \sum_{i} \log \mathbb{P}(y_{i}|\hat{t}_{i}) \right]$$

- Variational Bayes (VB)
- Direct Kullback-Liebler minimization (KL)
- Assumed Density Filtering (ADF) a.k.a. single sweep Expectation Propagation (EP)

Computational Primitives

The following computational primitives allow to cast the covariance approximation in more generic terms:

- Linear system solving: solve_K(W, r) := $(K + W^{-1})^{-1}r$
- Matrix-vector multiplications: mvm_K(r) := Kr
- ► Log-determinants: $Id_{K}(W) := Iog |B|$ with well-conditioned $B = I + W^{\frac{1}{2}} K W^{\frac{1}{2}}$
- ► Predictions need latent mean E[f_{*}] and variance V[f_{*}]

Tackling computational primitives

Using state space from of temporal GPs

SpInGP:

- The first two computational primitives are calculated using SpInGP [5] approach:
- Idea is: using state space form compose the inverse of the covariance matrix, which turns out to be block-tridiagonal

KF and RTS Smoothing:

- The last two primitives are solved by Kalman filtering and RTS smoothing
- Predictions are computed by primitive 4 and then by propagation through likelihood

Comments:

- Derivatives of computational primitives, required for learning, are computed in a similar way
- SpInGP involves computations with block-tridiagonal matrices. These computations are similar to KF and RTS smoothing (see [1] Appendix)

Experiments 2-3

Experiments are designed to emphasize the paper findings and statements

- 1. A robust regression (Student's *t* likelihood) study example with n = 34,154 observations
- 2. Numerical effects in non-Gaussian likelihoods

Table 1. A representative subset of supported likelihoods and inference schemes (for a full list, see Rasmussen & Nickisch, 2010). Results for simulated data with n = 1000 (around the break-even point of computational benefits). Results compared to respective naïve solution in mean absolute error (MAE). ¹The results for EP are compared against ADF explaining the deviation and speed-up.

Likelihood	Inference	MAE in α	$MAEin\mathbf{W}$	MAE in $\mu_{f,*}$	$-\log Z$	$-\log Z_{\rm ss}$	$t/t_{\rm ss}$	Description
Gaussian	Exact	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-16}$	$< 10^{-14}$	-1252.29	-1252.30	2.0	Regression
Student's t	Laplace	$< 10^{-7}$	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-3}$	2114.45	2114.45	1.4	Regression,
Student's t	VB	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-7}$	2114.72	2114.72	2.7	robust
Student's t	KL	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-5}$	2114.86	2114.86	4.6	
Poisson	Laplace	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-6}$	1200.11	1200.11	1.2	Poisson regression,
Poisson	EP/ADF [†]	$< 10^{-1}$	$< 10^{0}$	$< 10^{-2}$	1200.11	1206.59	39.5	count data
Logistic	Laplace	$< 10^{-8}$	$< 10^{-7}$	$< 10^{-7}$	491.58	491.58	1.3	Classification,
Logistic	VB	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-6}$	492.36	492.36	2.3	logit regression
Logistic	KL	$< 10^{-7}$	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-7}$	491.57	491.57	4.0	
Logistic	EP/ADF [†]	$< 10^{-1}$	$< 10^{0}$	$< 10^{-1}$	491.50	525.46	48.1	
Erf	Laplace	$< 10^{-8}$	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-7}$	392.01	392.01	1.2	Classification,
Erf	EP/ADF [†]	$< 10^{0}$	$< 10^{0}$	$< 10^{-1}$	392.01	433.75	37.1	probit regression

Experiment 4

- A new interesting data set with commercial airline accidents dates scraped from Wikipedia [6]
- Accidents over the time-span of ~100 years, n = 35,959 days
- We model the accident intensity as a Log Gaussian Cox process (Poisson likelihood)
- The GP prior is set up as:



Figure 2: (a) Intensity of aircraft incidents modeled by a log Gaussian Cox process with the mean and approximate 90% confidence regions visualized (N = 35,959), (b) The time course of the seasonal effect in the ariline accident intensity, plotted in a year vs. month plot (with wrap-around continuity between edges).

Conclusions

- This paper brings together research done in state space GPs and non-Gaussian approximate inference
- We improve stability and provide additional speed-up by fast computations of the state space model parameters
- We provide unifying code for all approches in GPML toolbox v. 4.2 [7]
- Visit our poster: #151

References

- [1] H. Nickisch, A. Solin, and A. Grigorievskiy (2018). State Space Gaussian Processes with Non-Gaussian Likelihood. In *ICML*.
- [2] C.E. Rasmussen and C.K.I. Williams (2006). *Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning*. The MIT Press.
- [3] J. Hartikainen, and S. Särkkä (2010). Kalman filtering and smoothing solutions to temporal Gaussian process regression models. In *MLSP*.
- [4] A. G. Wilson, and H. Nickisch (2015). Kernel Interpolation for Scalable Structured Gaussian Processes (KISS-GP). In *ICML*.
- [5] A. Grigorievskiy, N. Lawrence, and S. Särkkä (2017). Parallelizable Sparse Inverse Formulation Gaussian Processes (SpInGP). In *MLSP*.
- [6] Wikipedia (2018). URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_ accidents_and_incidents_involving_commercial_aircraft
- [7] C. E. Rasmussen and H. Nickisch (2010). Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning (GPML). In *GMLR*.