## Learning Patient-Specific Lumped Models for Interactive Coronary Blood Flow Simulations

Paper #168, Poster F-5

Hannes Nickisch, Yechiel Lamash, Sven Prevrhal, Moti Freiman, Mani Vembar, Liran Goshen and Holger Schmitt October 08, 2015





### **Overview: FFR and FFR-CT**

- Significance of a coronary stenosis assessed by FFR
- FFR = Fractional Flow Reserve = Pd/Pa



- FFR: measured through invasive cathlab procedure through a pressure wire
- FFR-CT: simulated from a routine cardiac CTA scan using a biophysical model
- Our contribution: Interactive simulation using lumped models





#### Visualisation





### Workflow

(a) Standard coronary CTA scan



(b) Automatic cardiac segmentation

(c) Coronary lumen segmentation

(d) Coronary tree (centerline+cross sections)

(e) FFR simulation

DHIIDS

# Simulation: Input and Output







# Simulation Pipeline: Finite Elements (FE)



# Simulation Pipeline: Lumped Model (LM)



### Lumped Models





- Fast: extremely quick to evaluate
- Simple: no surface or volume meshing required
- Already there: most FE boundary conditions are lumped
- Similar to electrical circuits via hydraulic analogy
  - voltage ≡ pressure, current ≡ volumetric flow rate
  - wire = pipe, resistance = constricted pipe,
- Non-linear 0d models aka reduced order approximation to 3d FE models
- Fit using statistical machine learning and hydraulic features





• Segment transfer function = sum of local (cross sectional) transfer functions







• Segment transfer function = sum of local (cross sectional) transfer functions



- Local transfer function = weighted sum of effect transfer functions
  - Symmetric polynomial from literature
  - $\Delta p_i(f) = \alpha_1 \bigcirc + \alpha_2 \bigcirc + \alpha_3 \bigcirc$ 
    - $+\alpha_4 \longrightarrow + .. + \alpha_E$
  - Poiseuille, Bernoulli, ovality, expansion, constriction, bifurcation, curvature, ...
- 10 Learning Lumped Coronary Blood Flow Models: Nickisch et al., October 08, 2015



#### Lumped Model Learning



- Adjust effect weights  $oldsymbol{lpha}$  so that lumped model  $\hat{f p}_i$  matches CFD simulation  $f p_i$
- Simulate a training data base of cases  $(\mathbf{f}_i^{CFD}, \mathbf{p}_i^{CFD})$  using OpenFOAM •
- $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ Lumped Model estimate ۰
- Nonnegative least squares fit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\hat{\mu}}_{i}^{LM} &= \mathbf{C} \sum_{e=1}^{E} \alpha_{e} \Delta \mathbf{p}_{e}(\mathbf{f}_{i}^{CFD}) \\ \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{*} &= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \succeq \mathbf{0}} \sum_{i} \left\| \mathbf{p}_{i}^{CFD} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{LM} \right\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_E = \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^{LM} \approx \mathbf{p}_i^{CFD} = \mathbf{p}_i^{LM}$$

## Learning Validation

- E=8 coefficients  $\alpha$ , 35 coronary trees, 10 flow levels, 20 fold resampling
- MAE 2.76±0.56mmHg, runtime ≤2min





## **Ground Truth Validation**

- 41 patients, 59 invasive FFR measurements (GT)
- Clinical FFR threshold 0.8  $\rightarrow$  AUC=0.77

٠

Green: correct, red: incorrect, gray: intrinsic error margin  $FFR_{GT}$  vs  $FFR_{LM}$ : N=59, MAE=0.061, RMSE=0.085 r/acc, sns/spc, ppv/npv=0.76/0.83, 0.67/0.86, 0.46/0.93 0.2  $FFR_{GT}$  -  $FFR_{LM}$ 0 0.1 0.0 -0.1-0.20.5 0.6 0.9 0.7 0.8 1.0  $(FFR_{GT} + FFR_{LM})/2$ 



#### **Interactive Prediction**





## Summary and Discussion

- Simple and fast surrogate model to FE simulations of fluid flow
- Trained using machine learning
- Evaluated on FFR prediction tasks
- Allow for interactive computation of hemodynamic parameters
- Applicable to general networks of elongated structures

- Hamburg (Research)
  Hannes Nickisch, Sven Prevrhal, Holger Schmitt
- Haifa (Advanced Development)
  Yechiel Lamash, Moti Freiman, Liran Goshen
- Cleveland (Clinical Science) Mani Vembar





